Real Time Target Tracking with Pan Tilt Zoom Camera
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Abstract—We present an approach for real-time tracking of a non-rigid target with a moving pan-tilt-zoom (PTZ) camera. The tracking of the object and control of the camera is handled by one computer in real time. The main contribution of the paper is method for target representation, localisation and detection, which takes into account both foreground and background properties, and is more discriminative than the common colour histogram based back-projection. A Bayesian hypothesis test is used to decide whether each pixel is occupied by the target or not. We show that this target representation is suitable for use with a Continuously Adaptive Mean Shift (CAMSHIFT) tracker. Experiments show that this leads to a tracking system that is efficient and accurate enough to guide a PTZ camera to follow a moving target in real time, despite the presence of background clutter and partial occlusion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Video surveillance is becoming ubiquitous in many public places including airports, train stations, shopping malls, and car parks. Although surveillance cameras are typically monitored manually, it is becoming increasingly common for some of the more mundane tasks to be performed automatically by video analysis software. In this paper, we focus on the task of tracking a person as they move about in an environment. This underlies many higher level surveillance tasks including behaviour analysis [1] and intruder detection.

It is often the case that a target must be tracked across a larger area than is visible in a single camera’s field of view. There are two possible solutions: one is that the target is tracked across cameras [2]–[4], and another is that the target is followed and tracked with a moving Pan-Tilt-Zoom (PTZ) camera [5], [6]. The latter approach is sometimes more desirable than former because, firstly, in cross camera tracking the target may be lost in the blind regions between cameras, and secondly, it is very difficult (nearly impossible for real environments) to lay out the cameras in such a way that there is always overlap between the field of views (FOVs) of the cameras. In real world environments it is also difficult to calibrate the cameras. Following a target with a moving camera gives the operator (human or software) more flexibility to adjust the camera in response to events so that a clear view of the target of interest is obtained. Our work here focuses on tracking a target of interest with a moving PTZ camera.

Computer Vision algorithms which are part of a real time video surveillance system are expected to be computationally efficient. They must be able to track the target in real time, process the signals for camera control and still have computational resources for other services such as storing the video and processing user requests. Continuously Adaptive Mean Shift (CAMSHIFT) [7] has been shown to be accurate and reliable, but is primarily designed to track a target based on a static colour distribution, such as skin colour, which has been built offline and is not updated while tracking. There has been different methods for improving CAMSHIFT tracking method [8] [9]. In [8] Allen et al. in order to compute the probability of a pixel to belong to the target model, used a weighted multidimensional histogram, where the weight for a pixel’s contribution to the histogram was computed using a simple monotonically decreasing kernel profile. In [9] spatial constraint of face and upper body and hence joint colour-spatial distribution was used to improve over traditional CAMSHIFT tracking. We augment CAMSHIFT to moving cameras by incorporating a novel way to obtain the target probability image. This is done by using an improved model of the target and a model of its background, and using Bayes theorem to compute the pixel weights for pixels which belong to the target.

A. Tracking System Overview

Our complete moving PTZ camera tracking system can be divided into three basic modules; (1) Initialisation, (2) tracking, and (3) camera control modules. Figure 1 gives the schematic block diagram of our tracking system.

The initialisation module is active when the PTZ camera is under manual control and monitoring the environment in which the user will identify the target to be tracked. Whenever the camera is stationary, a background model of the scene is built and masks of foreground moving targets are detected by background subtraction [10]. The user identifies a target for tracking by a mouse click on the target. The tracking can also be automatically initialise to track an object...
which appear in a particular region of the camera’s field of view. Even when the initialisation is automatic still the tracking with moving camera will run in real time.

Once a target has been identified, the tracking module takes up the task of tracking it. First, colour histogram models of the target and the surrounding background region are built using the current image and the foreground mask. These histograms are then used to calculate a value for each pixel which defines the probability of its belonging to the target, relative to the probability that it belongs to the background.

This process is explained in detail in Section II. The image formed by these values is used as input to a CAMSHIFT filter, which iteratively finds the centre of mass of the target and its estimated size in the current frame. This is then used to control the camera, as described in Section IV.

When a new frame is captured, control passes back to the tracking module, with the updated estimate of target position and scale obtained from CAMSHIFT. The process of tracking and camera control is then repeated for the new image. Experimental results are shown in Section V.

**II. TARGET REPRESENTATION**

Once a target has been manually identified by an operator or automatically, the first task of the tracking module is to construct a model that represents it. In our system, a target is represented by a histogram of the region which is detected as foreground and a histogram of a neighbouring region which is background. Figure 2 shows an example. The pixels inside the solid ellipse which are classified as foreground are used to build the foreground colour histogram $T_{fg}$, and pixels between the solid ellipse and dotted ellipse which are classified as background are used for building the background colour histogram $T_{bg}$ for the target. The ellipse is the best fit for the foreground pixels, and is obtained by the method used in [11]. The background region between the solid ellipse and dotted ellipse is of fixed width of 15 pixels. We empirically came up with the width of 15 pixels. Alternatively, this width can be the maximum distance of the foreground pixel from the nearest boundary, computed by distance transform on the foreground blob [12].

We assume that for at least a short time period ($>1s$) before the beginning of the tracking process, the PTZ camera is stationary. In practice this is not a heavy constraint, as
the user is unlikely to select a target while the camera is moving. Thus we can obtain a background model of the scene Figure 2(b) and a segmentation of the moving target as shown in Figure 2(c). Figure 2(d) shows the bounding ellipses for foreground region and background region.

A target’s foreground model \(T_{fg}\) is a \(N\)-bin histogram, which is non-parametric colour probability distribution function (pdf) of the target foreground. Similarly the target’s background model is another \(N\)-bin histogram.

\[
T_{fg} = \{q_{u}^{fg}\}_{u=1}^{N}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{u=1}^{N} q_{u}^{fg} = 1
\]

\[
T_{bg} = \{q_{u}^{bg}\}_{u=1}^{N}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{u=1}^{N} q_{u}^{bg} = 1 \tag{1}
\]

Let the function which associates bin index \(u\) to the colour vector at pixel location \(x_{i}\), \(i = 1...n_{fg}\) where \(n_{fg}\) is the total number of pixels in the target foreground, be denoted by \(b(x_{i}) \in \{1, ..., N\}\). The probability of the colour feature \(u = \{1, ..., N\}\) in the target foreground is then computed as

\[
q_{u}^{fg} = C_{fg} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{fg}} w_{i}^{fg} \delta[b(x_{i}), u]. \tag{2}
\]

Here, \(\delta\) is the kronecker delta function which is 1 when \(b(x_{i}) = u\) and zero otherwise, and \(C_{fg}\) is the normalising constant such that \(\sum_{u=1}^{N} q_{u}^{fg} = 1\). The term \(w_{i}\) is a weight for each pixel \(x_{i}\), which depends on its position relative to the foreground mask. \(\delta\) is computed by a distance transform on the foreground blob as described in [12]. The effect is to give less weight to pixels that are near the edge of the foreground region, as they are considered to be less reliable.

Figure 3 shows a pictorial representation of the weights of the pixels for foreground and background pixels. Figure 3(a) is the foreground mask obtained by using background subtraction algorithm as described in [10], 3(b) is an image of the weights for foreground pixels, 3(c) is an image of weights for the background pixels. The intensity of the pixels in images 3(b) and 3(c) is function of their weights. The higher the weight, the brighter the pixels are.

The probability of the colour feature \(u = 1...N\) in the target background is computed as

\[
q_{u}^{bg} = C_{bg} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{bg}} w_{i}^{bg} \delta[b(x_{i}), u]. \tag{3}
\]

where \(n_{bg}\) are the total number of pixels in the background region, and \(C_{bg}\) is a normalising constant.

A. Calculating the weight image

The histograms computed above are non-parametric conditional densities which can be denoted as \(q_{u}^{fg} = P(u|fg)\) and \(q_{u}^{bg} = P(u|bg)\). Using Bayes’ formula the conditional distributions \(P(fg|u)\) and \(P(bg|u)\) can be computed as

\[
P(fg|u) = \frac{P(u|fg)P(fg)}{P(u)} \tag{4}
\]

We use the ratio of \(P(fg|u)\) and \(P(bg|u)\) to compute a weight image of the target in the next frame, where each pixel’s value is the relative probability of its membership in the foreground and background region:

\[
\Omega_{i} = \frac{P(fg|b(x_{i}))}{P(bg|b(x_{i}))} = \frac{P(b(x_{i})|fg)P(fg)}{P(b(x_{i})|bg)P(bg)} \tag{5}
\]

where \(P(fg) = \frac{n_{fg}}{n_{fg} + n_{bg}}\) and \(P(bg) = \frac{n_{bg}}{n_{fg} + n_{bg}} = 1 - P(fg)\). We next show how this image leads to improved target detection and tracking.

III. CAMSHIFT TRACKING

In its original form, CAMSHIFT uses a colour histogram representing target appearance to evaluate the probability that each pixel in a region belongs to foreground. It then iteratively shifts the region to converge on the local optimum: the region whose pixels have the highest combined probability of being foreground.

We improve on this by replacing the colour histogram back-projection with Equation 5 when calculating the probability for each pixel to belong to the target. Figure 4 compares the weight image computed by the method presented here and the weight image computed by the colour histogram. The weight image computed by our method is more accurate representation of the target than the previous approach.

The modified CAMSHIFT tracking algorithm can now be summarised by the following steps...
control commands to the camera such that the target centre in the current frame.

foreground and background models for the target localised

\[ \beta_{fg} \] where \( \beta_{fg} \) is empirically chosen and it depends upon the nature of lighting in the environment and environment itself, which determines the rate at which the model of the foreground and background will change. Usually \( \beta_{bg} \) is greater than \( \beta_{fg} \). For a moving camera background model will change faster than foreground model of the target.

\[
T_{fg}^k = (1 - \beta_{fg})T_{fg}^{k-1} + \beta_{fg} \times T_{fg}^{localised}
\]

\[
T_{bg}^k = (1 - \beta_{bg})T_{bg}^{k-1} + \beta_{bg} \times T_{bg}^{localised}
\]

where \( k \) is the current frame and \( T_{fg}^{localised}, T_{bg}^{localised} \) are the foreground and background models for the target localised in the current frame.

IV. CAMERA CONTROL

The aim of the camera control module is to keep the target centre in the frame. The aim of the camera control module is to keep the target centre in the region \( R_1 \). According to the region in which the target centre lies, the camera control module decides whether the camera is static or moving.

lies in the region “\( R_1 \)” of the frame as shown in Figure 5.

In every frame, the horizontal and vertical distance of the target from the frame centre in region “\( R_1 \)” is calculated. If the horizontal or vertical distances or both are greater than a threshold then commands are generated by giving priority to the distance which is greater. If vertical distance is greater than horizontal then tilt command is given priority over pan and vice-versa. If the target centre lies in “\( R_1 \)” region ie the horizontal and vertical distances are within threshold then stop command is send to the camera.

One concern in the design of a camera control procedure is to minimise the delay of the camera in executing commands. Different PTZ cameras have different amounts of lag; the camera which we used had a delay of approximately 100 ms in executing commands. To reduce the loss of time in transmission and execution of commands, the program keeps a record of the command the camera is executing. Hence, repeated commands will be avoided to reduce delays. For example if the target centre in in region “\( R_2 \)” then command for right panning is generated and checked against the last command send to the camera. If the last command is different from pan-right then first a stop command is send and after a delay of 100 ms the pan-right command is send. But if the last command is same as pan-right then no command is send to the camera which saves 200 ms of time. This is important from a tracking point of view as the speed of the target which the camera can track depends more on this factor, than on the computation required for tracking.
V. RESULTS

We show three sets of tracking results of the several others, were the proposed PTZ camera tracker has been able to successfully track the identified individual with the moving camera. These sequences have been captured using a screen capture software while running the tracking implementation on the same computer. This is worth mentioning to show the computational efficiency of the proposed algorithm. The frame size of the frames processed by the tracking algorithm is $352 \times 255$ and all the 25 frames in a second are processed without 100% use of the CPU on a 3.0 G-Hz Pentium machine.

Due to the latency of the camera in executing instructions, in tracking results the camera centre lags behind the target centre when it is moving quickly. However, it recovers when the target slows down or stops. This is due to the slow processing of the commands by the PTZ camera and not due to the tracking algorithm.

In the results shown in Figure 6 there are instances of illumination changes, highlights and change of target pose. This tracking image sequence is 2980 frames long. The tracker has been successfully able to handle changes in the target and background. Some times the camera centre is not exactly on the target centre and lags behind the target centre due to the latency of the camera in executing the commands sent to it. This problem can be avoided by using a PTZ camera whose response to commands are faster.

Figure 7 shows tracking results for another person in the same scenario as Figure 6. In this case there are multiple moving targets which can potentially distract the tracker. As seen in Figure 7 (e) (f) the representation of the target is robust enough to continue tracking even in presence of these distractions. The length of this tracking sequence is 2814 frames.

Figure 8 shows successful tracking results in presence of partial occlusion. The target in a lecture theatre environment has been tracked in spite of being partially occluded by the chairs.

VI. SUMMARY AND IDEAS FOR FUTURE WORK

In this paper a robust target representation and detection method has been proposed, based on distance transform of the segmented target and application of Bayes theorem to the probability distribution of the foreground pixels and background pixels in the neighbourhood of the target. Several tracking videos demonstrate the efficacy of the novel target representation in tracking a target with a moving PTZ camera. The target representation and hence localisation is better than the previously used histogram back-projection method. The representation and detection is also robust to distractions and partial occlusions. Some times the target representation is vulnerable to large scale illumination changes, which can be improved by a using colour space which is more robust to illumination changes. The vulnerability to illumination change can also be ameliorated by using multiple cue in detection of the target. It would be interesting to extend this method to multiple target tracking, which adds the extra problem of deciding who the camera will follow in case of clashes. Use of particle filter instead of CAMSHIFT filter which is more robust in tracking multiple targets can also be explored.
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Figure 7. Tracking results for another person in presence of colour distraction as another person passes the person being tracked. The complete tracking video can be seen at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QzMcM1Sn6cc.


Figure 8. Tracking results for a person who has been partially occluded by the chairs. The complete tracking video can be seen at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QzMcM1Sn6cc.


